

Something tells me it's all happening at the ZOO

Saturday, Jan. 15 -Registration

4:15 Bus Trip to Oakland City

8:00 Basketball: Knights vs Oakland City There

Sunday, Jan. 16

7:30 Student Board Meeting Social Council RM; SAC

Monday, Jan. 17 -Finals: Subject :Waffle House Menu exams

6:00 - 11:00 Indianapolis Philharmonic Rehearsal MH Aud.

6:30 - 9:30 In-Service Workshop Lib. Aud. and Sem. Rm.

8:30 WAA Basketball I C Gym

Tuesday, Jan. 18 - Finals: Repeat Waffle House Menu exams

8:00 Basketball: Knights vs Anderson There

Wednesday, Jan. 19 -Finals: Repeat Waffle House Menu exams - for no reason in particular.

8:30 WAA Basketball I C Gym


Fri. Jan. 14, 1972

Thursday, Jan. 20 -Finals: Who cares - burnt out!


The views expressed in this publication are those of the individual animal and therefore do not necessarily reflect those of the ZOO as a whole.

Carbon

Vol: early jungle
No: Two by Two



EDITORIAL



On Tuesday the Faculty Council voted on the motion for some kind of an appeals committee. There were two alternatives. First, after a decision has been made by Dean Brames, the student can ask for a hearing by the committee and they may recommend to Dean Brames that he rehear the case. If the student is still not satisfied, he can ultimately appeal to the President. In the second plan, if the committee's decision on the appeal is contrary to Dean Brames', it will go directly to the President. The faculty council voted 14 to 4 to send it back to Dean Brames for an appeal. Why? Confidence in the Dean will be restored, when he is given a chance to correct his mistake. But Dean Brames made a statement to the student board last year and I quote the Secretary of last year's student board, Marty Scheper: "I can never make a mistake in judging the guilt or innocence of a person. Personalities would never enter into my decision." Since Dean Brames cannot make a mistake there seems no chance for the initial decision to be changed. In fact, his proposal has always been for a review board that would simply go over the facts he gives them. The emphasis is on review not appeal. After all, Student Services is the source on this campus that is the most experienced in conduct cases.

How do the students feel? The Student Board as our representative (with the possible exception of Jim Herbe who seems to be working for Student Services) presented their proposal. The Student Board (minus Jim Herbe) proposed that the appeal go to the President.

We already know how Dean Brames feels. If left to him, there would be no appeals system as such. However, our fate was left in the hands of the faculty council. Now once again our fate is in the hands of Dean Brames. Why have an appeals system at all?

Perhaps another question to consider is how can confidence or respect be restored, when there has never been any present? I'm urging you, as concerned students of Marian College, to sign the petition, if you have not done so. Our petition is meeting with success. The Faculty Council had a revote on thursday. This time the vote was 9 to 8. It will come up again, and I hope finally pass.

One final plea--won't the Faculty Council please reconsider their action so that confidence can be restored in them.

Editorial:

I believe that there is an important difference in spirit and principle between two alternative plans that were proposed to Faculty Council concerning an appeals system.

An appeals system is based on the principle that the person may acquire a rehearing by an unbiased group or person. (Unbiased in the sense that they would have no special interests in the case, nor would they have been a part in the original decision making process.) The first proposal (the one supported by Dean Brames and passed by Faculty Council) sets up such a committee but it only has the power to recommend that the case be reheard by the Dean, specifying its reasons. I think this threatens the spirit and principle of appeal. The committee's recommendation would be heard by the Dean but would this be any different from the recommendations of the Residence directors or of the Director of Student Activities, which he also considers? The Dean does say he is only obliged to consider the recommendation not to agree with it. He has always said that his office was more qualified to make decisions on conduct cases than an appeals committee. If this is so, then is the recommendation of the committee worth more to him than the recommendations that have originated in his office? This proposal isn't an appeal. Faculty Council even realized and so renamed this proposal a review board.

With the alternate proposal the committee's decision would have more force. It has the committee's decision going to the President for final decision. It would be an appeal to a higher authority. It would be an appeal to someone other than the person who heard the case in the first place.

The petition urging Faculty Council to reconsider the establishment of an appeals system instead instead of a review system is still being circulated. Faculty Council will not be satisfied with less than one-half of the student body's signatures. We all know that seldom has a petition at Marian ever received that many signatures (except for Open Visitation), so a special effort must be made to achieve this goal.

E Mc

What Faculty Council
needs is



From the Masses:

In regard to the obviously amateur burlesque act of Wednesday morning, I thought I'd put in my 2¢ worth. As yet, I have not quite understood just why it was performed, but I have two possible theories.

Trying to get the facts of the matter straight, I listened to the radio coverage of the matter. One of the participants, given the pseudo name "Mike", said he was paid \$10 for his performance. However, when the announcer pointed out that his hospital bill might run around \$300, Mike chivalrously replied that it was his "duty to enlighten the young ladies of Clare Hall!"

My dear sir, your zeal is commendable, but belated. As an Art major, I have observed countless studies of the male physique and, next semester, will have human anatomy coming out my ears in Drawing From Life class. Therefore, although I realize your concern, please refrain from the unnecessary 12:30 A.M. previews.

My other attempt to explain this phenomenon phrase "enlighten the young ladies" is that perhaps "Mike" was trying to demonstrate to us how fast the human body can catch pneumonia. Again, his zeal is commendable, but insufficient to prove his point. Sir, might I suggest that for better results, you try locking yourself in Mr. Morgan's icebox for 10 minutes? It's colder in there, so the experiment would be better carried out. After all, if your willing to endure so much personal discomfort to demonstrate the above mentioned point, it would be better and vastly more practical if you did a good job of it.

Sincerely,


Diana Ryker

What have we been working on for the past year and a half? I was under the impression that it was Conduct Appeals system. A conduct appeals board did exist until the beginning of last year (now when was it that our present Dean came into office?) This former board had final jurisdiction and functioned with seemingly no problems. So why is it no longer? Apparently a written statement of its existence could not be found by Dean Brames so obviously it couldn't continue hearing cases (how absurd to have something that isn't act as if it were).

(Incidentally, no written statement on events being open to the outside community could be found either so we had to start working on that--before it was just understood that the college interest was best served by maintaining an open policy to the community.)

What really puzzles me is why there is such opposition to conduct appeals. If disciplinary action is just and reasonable, why should there be any fear of an appeals committee with some authority reviewing the case? Student Board, with eleven of its present thirteen members voted into office by the students, passed at its November 28th, 1971 meeting that the committee's decision be final or their views go to some authority (groups or person-like the President) other than back to the Office of Student Services.

(cont. page 3)



by HAMM

Track and Field

The first "annual"?? Goose Bump race was held Tuesday night outside Clare Stadium on one of the classic events in Marian College athletic history. The crowd appeared doubtful of their ability, but the runners managed to stick it out to the finish. Press coverage was phenomenal for such an unpublicized event. But anyway you saw it, one had to admit that it was something different, and those are the bare facts.

Intramural Basketball

As the season progresses the top teams seem to even out in the battle for first place. The Jwan-tu Jwazuri look like the best team at the present but they can be beaten by the Courtly Few or even the rapidly improving Seaman Squad or the surprising Oedipus Complex. The once potent Gods of Hellfire have been slipping but they can not be taken lightly. Ken Hornback, of the Social Pros, continues to place the league in field goal accuracy with a torrid 66% shooting. White Lightning has kept its Cincinnati Royal-like losing streak alive while their ace Tom "Wilt" Wellman set an all time club scoring record. Leo would be proud!

League 1	Won	Lost
Seaman Squad	6	1
Courtly Few	5	1
Gods of Hell Fire	4	2
Hilljacks	4	2
Biramen	2	4
Has-Beens	2	4
White Lightning	0	6*

League 2	Won	Lost
Jwan-tu Jwazuri	5	0
Oedipus Complex	4	1
Funny Co.	4	2
MFIC	1	4
Mighty Fine B-Ball Team	1	4
Social Pros	1	4
Mother Truckers	1	5

* Doesn't include pre-season loss to girl's team

Scoring Leaders

Names	Team	Ave.
1. Giles	Funny Co.	22.6
2. Puckett	Birdmen	22.0
3. Unser	Gods of Hell Fire	21.5
4. Bickley	Social Pros	19.6
5. Foxen	Birdmen	18.0
6. Gerth	Mother Truckers	17.0
7. Washington	Jwan-tu Jwazuri	16.6
8. Pawlak	Seaman Squad	16.2
10. Eckman	Funny Co.	13.2
9. Comer	Courtly Few	14.0

apology to Philosopher Kings:

Last week's quote should have read "tabula rasa" and it was by Locke not Kant.

From the Masses, cont.:

A petition is out now so the students can give their opinion on this crucial issue. It's important enough to be worth your consideration.

P.A.M.

APPLAUDS:

- Snow plowing all three walk to Doyle Hall
- petition urging Faculty Council to reconsider Conduct Appeals system.
- Frosty's award-winning brownies.
- Pat Baumer's ability to throw water.
- Mr. Clark for being a good guy.
- Getting out before Christmas next year.

HISSES:

- Finals
- Jim Herbe's continued misrepresentation.
- Mr. Kempf's diabolical genius.
- 55 minute periods next year.

UNDECIDED:

- Faculty Council (not yet anyway)

Historical
Fact

of relevance to the Present
(dedicated to Faculty Council + others)

the "OLD Buddha"
always got her way, and
built stone boats when
modern ships were
needed.

the Revolution was
not long in coming



Jan. 14th
see the flicks
sponsored by
the jr. class
Hitchcocks
"the birds"
AND
"Mash"
8:30 in MH aud.
for 75¢

the
"up yours"
award of the
weak goes to:
C.W.'s
Tonsils