

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46222

T0: Elaine Ransom and Dave Soots.

At its meeting on March 14, 1971, the Student Board passed the following legislation. "Since the Student Board, as of now, is responsible for Student Publications, and due to the fact that in the last issue of the Carbon (March 12, 1971), the cartoon (sic) was in bad taste, be it resolved that the Student Board views such acts as not in good taste and not professional, and that the Student Board notify the editors of the Carbon to exercise their duty and responsibility to professional journalism."

Consider this memorandum as such notification. The Board does not wish to call for an end to the cartoons, but asks only that some discretion be used as to their content.

Sincerely,

Martha Scheper  
Secretary

The above "memorandum" was received--second hand--on Wednesday, March 17, 1971. This was the first official notification to the Carbon editors that there was some controversy over 'the cartoon' that appeared in last week's CARBON. Digging through the ambiguity and the poor grammar, I can only presume that this Student Board legislation refers to the caricature of Mrs. Kolb and Dean Brames.

Further, I can only speculate on the "bad taste" that said cartoon is purported to be suffering from. For the CARBON editors were not asked to defend the cartoon or to participate in the Board's discussion on Sunday night. In fact, the above notice was placed on the College bulletin board before a copy was received by the Carbon co-editors. I should think, out of common courtesy, that the Student Board might have requested the Carbon editors to participate in the discussion; or, at least, informed them of the decision before it was placed on public display.

But more important than the Student Board's lack of professionalism, is the question of "bad taste." I did not find the cartoon offensive in the least. I can assure you that no personal offense was intended. Neither Mrs. Kolb or Dean Brames have spoken to me

(cont. p. 6. col. 1)

**To all those concerned:**

I was casually informed on Wednesday past that we, as editors of the Carbon have committed a tragic "no-no". With the release of last week's issue, it seems that we have deflated the egos of certain illustrious administrative personalities. Thus, we have demonstrated our lack of responsibility by producing a publication which is considered by some to have contained a cartoon done in "bad taste!"

In view of the fact that this was not the first cartoon to be presented to the Marian College community via the Carbon, all of which have been done exactly as the one in question, there seems to be an indication that the particular parties involved have a definite lack of something also, a sense of humor. This is a quality which should be basic to the personality make-up of any administrator especially those who deal directly with students.

The Student Board has taken it upon themselves to publically state that, in their opinion, the cartoon in question was in "bad taste". They did not notify us of their meeting nor us of its outcome until it had already been publically announced. I am aware of the fact that they are "responsible" for Student Publications, however, I feel that they also

(cont. on p. 4, col. 2)

Vol 35 No. 7.

MARCH 19, 1971

Vol. 35 No. 7.  
MARCH 19, 1971

# CARBON

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the individual, ~~not the~~ institution.

**activitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivitiesactivities**

TONIGHT FRIDAY MARCH 19 - 8:30 a.m.-4:30 p.m. - Elections for Student Board. This is your chance to make the right choice for those students you wish to "lead" you next year.

(One rule - "election of subversives is prohibited-there's already enough represented on the Carbon Staff" - Student Board.)

8:30 p.m. - Mixer-held in the Old Mixed Lounge - music provided by "Gabriel" sponsored by the Frosh. \$.75 Admission

**SATURDAY MARCH 20 - 9:00 a.m.-Noon - Theatre Rehearsal**

8:00p.m. - Beer Blast - Clumont Lions Club

(cont. on page 4, col. 2)

STUDENT BOARD REPORTS

Sunday evening, March 14, at 7:00 p.m. the Student Board held a meeting concerning the ratification of the publications board constitution.

The constitution was ratified by a 13-0-0 vote after 3½ hours of discussion. The constitution was presented by Tom Hanrahan, and it was read through point by point for corrections, deletions, and additions.

Concerning the area of membership, the faculty advisors were added to the membership, along with the present membership consisting of the editors of student publications, dark-room manager, vice-president of the student board, and the advisor.

In order to avoid redundancy, the role of advisor was clarified to state that he must be qualified in the area of professional standards of journalism. Initially the constitution stated, that the advisor must be well acquainted with professional journalism and qualified in the area of publishing and literary criticism.

Under the topic of organization, the role of secretary - treasurer was removed from the constitution, and the office was titled simply as secretary of the publications board. It was felt that the position of the treasurer of the pub board, and that of the student board would overlap, because both would be keeping records of the same financial transactions. It was decided that the secretary shall keep official minutes of all board meetings to be kept on file with the student government.

It was also decided that, that it must be stated in the constitution that faculty advisors to publications have debate power, but no voting power.

The functions, responsibilities, and jurisdiction of the pub board were discussed for perhaps the greatest length of time. A debate raged over whether the pub board should be given more power concerning the selection and dismissal of editors of student publications. The constitution originally read that the pub board would advise the student board of its choice for editors, but the student board had to approve their selection. It was decided that all criticism of student publications must be directed toward the pub board for discussion, and the hiring and dismissal of editors would be left entirely to the pub board.

Considerable rewriting had to be completed under the topic of procedure in the pub board constitution. The constitution previously stated that the pub board would guarantee financial support by the student board for any new publication started on campus. This had to be changed, because the office of treasurer was removed, and financial responsibility was placed back into the hands of the student board. The constitution now states that favorable action by the pub board concerning the establishment of a new student publication is subject to student board approval. Finally, it was added that proposals which have not received favorable action may be resubmitted to the pub board within six weeks. Also, decisions of past pub boards are not to be regarded as binding on future pub boards.

The Board then closed the meeting to discuss the cartoon that appeared in last week's  
(cont. next column)

CARBON. Action on this subject is discussed elsewhere in today's CARBON.

Carol Wethington

WALT'S WRESTLING WORLD

Extra! Pre-professional championship wrestling news!!!

I have just received the matches for the next Professional Championship Wrestling card at the Coliseum on Saturday, March 27, 1971. Here they are and brace yourselves:

Fearless Freddy Rogers versus Bulldog Drummer. This match is unique because two bad and dirty wrestlers will be wrestling each other. Rodeo Jones versus Tommy "The Physique" and "Mr. Indiana" Lynch.

Nicoli Volkoff "The Hated Russian" versus Angelo "Neckbreaker" Poffo. This match is also unique because two tough and dirty wrestlers will be wrestling each other. Doctor "Big" Bill Miller "Former World Wrestling Heavyweight Champion" and "Former World Tag-Team Champion" with partner Dick the Bruiser versus Pancho Garcia. Again two tough and dirty wrestlers will be meeting each other in the ring.

PLUS!!!!

Hold on to your boyfriends and girlfriends for this one friends!!!!

Count Baron von Rascke "The Monster of the Third Reich," "The Best of Berlin," the German Teutonic Terror," and undisputed W.W.A. World's Heavyweight Wrestling Champion, 6'9" and 285 pounds versus Ernie "The Cat" Ladd "Former AFL-NFL All Pro-Lineman", 7' and 300 pounds. The W.W.A. World Heavyweight Wrestling Championship Belt is up for stake. You can imagine what will happen when these two giants of Professional Wrestling meet. This is a two out of three falls match with a one hour time limit!!!! PLUS!!!!

Hold on to your liquor bottles as well for this one friends!!!

Yukon Moose Cholak "Battle Royal Champion" and "Former World Tag-Team Champion" and his partner Wilbur Snyder "Former United States Heavyweight Wrestling Champion" and "Former W.W.A. World's Heavyweight Wrestling Champion" versus The Fabulous Kangaroos - Al Costello and Don Kent "International Heavyweight Wrestling Tag-Team Champions" and "Former World Heavyweight Wrestling Tag-Team Champions" and their manager "Crybaby" George Cannon. This match is a NO DISQUALIFICATION MATCH, TWO OUT THREE FALLS MATCH WITH A ONE HOUR TIME LIMIT. No disqualification simply means that the wrestlers are allowed to use any means in order to defeat their opponents. They can use chairs, chains, crowbars, wire, glass, fists, teeth, feet, rope, screwdrivers, etc. They can fight inside the ring, outside the ring, in the stands, outside the Coliseum, in mud in water, even at Marian College. etc. In other words this is a KNOCK DOWN-DRAW OUT-NO HOLDS BARRED-DO-OR DIE BATTLE WHERE THE LAW OF THE JUNGLE REIGNS SUPREME AND NO OTHER EXISTS. You can be sure that only the true champions will survive this gladiatorial and savage battle of Titans. A referee does not interfere in any way, only to start and end this free-for-all. The only thing required is that the wrestlers pin or force their opponents into submission inside the ring!!!

If you want to see true champions fight and win the two main battles of the evening, then please join me at ringside on Saturday

(continued on page 5, col.1)



## ARAB UNITY: DREAM OR REALITY? PART II

by

Joseph E. Khalili

In the new Syrian-Egyptian union the ideas of revolutionary socialism acquired particular significance from the character of the union's principal architects, Gamal Abdul Nasser and the Arab Socialist Resurrection (Ba'th) party of Syria.

The Syrian Ba'th party held that the Arab world, by its unity of culture and of aspiration, forms one nation and that its present political divisions are artificial. The party was organized to bring about this union, "in which all its members are required to believe."

The Ba'th party is very strongly anti-colonialist. It believes in the imperative need for the removal of all vestiges of foreign control in the Arab world and in an Arab foreign policy of non-alignment between the great powers. Its success in getting Syria and Jordan out of the control of British policy is undeniable. There has been constant Anglo-American pressure for years, to get the Arab countries into a Mediterranean pact of mutual defense and thus into the Baghdad Pact. (known since 1958 as CENTO). But, this policy of block formation may be said to have definitely failed in Syria as well as in Egypt, and generally in the whole Arab region.

The open support of the Ba'th and other Socialist parties for Nasser's regime in Egypt since the 1952 revolution was basically due to Nasser's foreign policy. Nasser, became the symbol for Arab emancipation; for he was not only to stand up against Great Britain, whose colonial interests were threatened, and France who was wounded in its self esteem, but also against the reactionary Arab coalition, which had some support throughout the Arab world. The socialists, by giving Nasser their frank but conditional support, helped to unify the anti-imperialist front, and weaken the Arab reaction, which was the most dangerous and violent enemy of Nasser's foreign and economic policy.

The essential characteristic of the Ba'th party was its aspiration to Arab Union. The two principal slogans of the party were a single Arab nation, and Unity, Liberty and Socialism. For the Arab socialists, the existing fragmentation of their territory into little, unstable states, has never ceased to handicap their efforts towards a real emancipation, political and economic.

Any idea of unity with Egypt would have been unthinkable by the Ba'th Syrian party before 1958. It was only after they became convinced that Egypt was sincerely seeking political and economic liberation from all foreign interference, and lending moral support to all the movements of Arab liberation that the Syrian Socialists have accepted this idea of merging with Egypt.

Arab unity which stems from Arab nationalism today means, "a spiritual drive, a voluntary solidarity of the Arab peoples everywhere based on a common heritage of language, culture, and history."

Michel Aflaq, whom some have called, "the Ghandi of Arab Nationalism," believed that to be free, the Arabs had to unite, and

(cont. next column)

## ARAB UNITY CONT.

to maintain that freedom, they had to adopt socialism in order to develop quickly economically. He believed that what the Ba'th party needed was "a leader big enough to transcend Arab frontiers and attract all Arabs to his banner. There is only one man who could fill that role-this is Gamal Abdul Nasser."

The circumstances and rationale of the Syrian-Egyptian union involved certain ambiguous considerations. Abdul Nasser reminded the Syrian leaders in 1958 that conditions are not yet ripe for a union between the two states. He argued that Syria must first find her own internal unity, which was divided as a result of a Communist take over. He told the Syrians that the ground between the two countries must be prepared, and that a larger period was needed. At length he did consent to an immediate union, but on certain conditions. It should not be a federal union as some Syrian leaders preferred, but a centralized unity. The army must renounce further involvement in politics; all political parties must be dissolved. His terms were accepted, and the union was consummated on February 22, 1958.

The formation of the United Arab Republic set in motion a process of Arab unification which, within two weeks, had created the Arab Union, between Iraq and Jordan.

Unable to remain inactive and also unable to participate in the new Arab Union, the two Kingdoms of Iraq and Jordan therefore found themselves constrained to chart their own separate path towards Arab Unity.

Having by 1958, a motion of unions between Syria and Egypt on the one hand and Iraq and Jordan on the other, proved nothing, but the fact that the Arabs were still in rivalry and one unity was initiated in retaliation for the other and not for the sake of Arab Utopia that is for the creation of a one united, solid nation.

The fact that these two unions were based on pillars of sand rather than on solid concrete foundations was proven the moment winds were blown first by the Iraqi revolution of July 14, 1958, which wrecked the Arab Union between Iraq and Jordan, by the creation of the new Iraqi Republic that replaced the old monarchy, and second by the secession of Syria on September, 1961 from the United Arab Republic, which led in the minds of many Arabs to the destruction of the idea or hope for any future Arab unity.

Many reasons were given for the failure of this Arab union. The basics of these reasons were: rajiya (reaction), intihaziya (opportunism), and iglimiya (provincialism). Of course underneath these causes lay a number of myths that the collapse of the union ought to have exploded but in fact did not. One was that since all Arabs are members of one nation, their diversities of geographical environment, spoken dialect, economic structure, social tradition, and political experience, and attitude are in some sense artificial or at least of no more than incidental importance, and the concessions made to them are therefore reprehensible.

Recognizing the fact that Arab unity had failed in its first trial, President Nasser on October 16, 1961 announced:

"We must have the courage to confess our errors. We must blame ourselves for the collapse of the union with Syria."

(cont. page 4)



ARAB UNITY CONT.

President Nasser confessed the errors Egypt had committed towards the union, but the question is, what was the error to which, in Egypt's name, he confessed? It was that of "Comprising with the reactionaries," inside Syria and in inter-Arab affairs generally.

The Arabs did not give up their idea of unity, neither Nasser nor the Syrian Ba'th government gave up their hopes for a future Arab unity; only one thing Nasser learned to do in future Arab unity and that was based on his new philosophy of unity. On February 22, 1962, Nasser summarized his thoughts by saying: It had previously been customary to speak of a "unity of ranks," (Wahdat al-Saff) among Arab regimes of diverse internal orientation. "Unity of ranks" now gave way to the notion of "unity of purpose" (Wahdat al-hadaf).

The new slogan was derived in response to charges from Damascus, Amman, and Riyadh that Nasser was wrecking Arab solidarity.

There are certain persons who today talk about the closing of the Arab ranks- from the time of Nure al-Said they have been talking about it. But what was the goal of such unity? Was it to serve the interests of imperialism, or was it to serve the interests of the Arab nation? Unity of purposes is more important than unity of ranks. We call for unity of purpose, but we look with suspicion on slogans calling for unity of ranks. Unity of ranks based on different purposes would drive the entire Arab nation into danger. We seek to achieve unity of purpose in the first place. Such unity can lead to unity of ranks because unity of purpose constitutes unity of all the Arab people. All the Arab peoples have one and the same goal, but certain rulers are working towards different goals. Therefore, they falsify the slogans and appeal for unity of ranks."

Within this philosophy, it should be Egypt's policy not only to acknowledge but even to project and sharpen the lack of Arab solidarity. Nasser, refused to recognize the new Syrian socialists regime; he broke off diplomatic relations with Jordan; he terminated the loose confederation existing between the U.A.R. and Yemen; and he denounced the royal regime in Saudi Arabia.

Between March and April, 1963, negotiations started between Egypt, Iraq and Syria for a new trial to Arab unity. This time a Federation between the three Arab States was the basic for talks. The transcripts of the unity talks made it very plain that the fever that swept Arab opinion on April 17, 1963, was based on very little substance. In fact, anyone reading the agreement at the time with some sober reflections might grasp that rather little had really been done, and that all that had been exchanged between the Arab leaders, was a commitment to come to terms in the future.

In reality at the end of 1963 more Arab states were at each others throats at once than ever before. Syria was feuding with Egypt, and, since November 1963, with Iraq. Egypt and Saudi Arabia were locked in a struggle for the future of Yemen, where about 40,000 Egyptian troops had failed to win a final victory for the revolutionary republic.  
cont. next column)

Arab Unity cont.

icans there. Algeria had come to blows with Morocco over a border dispute and had another with Tunisia. Tunisia and Morocco had been cool to each other ever since Tunisia had recognized the independence of Mauritania. Egypt was hostile to Jordan, as well as to Saudi Arabia, because of some ideological differences, and was on the side of revolutionary Algeria against her more conservative neighbors. Syria was unfriendly to Jordan, and had many trading complaints with Lebanon over border incidents.

The Cairo Summit meetings at 1964 and 1965, had cooled the conflicts between the Arab leaders, but these meetings were far from being the instrument for an ever-lasting peace between the Arab states. Soon after the meetings, the truce was interrupted, and each Arab nation started to complain against each other.

PART III - Conclusion in next week's CARBON

activitiesactivitiesactivities... (cont.)

SUNDAY MARCH 21 - All day - Pray

7:00 p.m. - Student Board Meeting (annihilation of Carbon Staff-Main topic of discussion.)

MONDAY MARCH 22 - 4:30 p.m. - Committee on Teacher Ed.-Retention Meeting-Room 314

8:00p.m. - Civilisation Series: The Light of Experience - Library Aud.

8:00 p.m. - "Drug Problem Solution" - Clowes Hall- Krannert Aud. FREE

TUESDAY MARCH 23 - 12:30 p.m. - Civilisation Series: The Light of Experience -Lib.Aud  
12:30p.m. - Booster Club Elections

WEDNESDAY MARCH 24 - 1:00 p.m.- Baseball:

Knights vs. St. Joseph's - Here

3:30 p.m. - Questionnaire Sub-Committee - Comm. on Academic Dev.(Study Comm) - Board Room

7:00 p.m. - Educational Film Series - Library Aud.

7:00 p.m. - Pre-Marriage Talks and Discussion - M.H. Aud.

7:00 p.m. - Swimming (Advanced) or come if you want to swim.

THURSDAY MARCH 25 - Noon-1:30 p.m. - Academic Affairs Committee - Open Meeting  
ALL DAY - Regional Science Fair - Gym and Gym Lounge

7:30 - Science Fair Awards Presentation in MH Auditorium.

Mae East

To All Those Concerned: (Cont. from p.1 col.2)  
have a responsibility to us, not only as editors but as fellow students. It is known that the Publications Board Constitution is up for approval by Student Services. Could this possibly be the reason that they have decided to "overlook" their responsibilities to us? The very purpose of this constitution and the formation of the Publications Board itself is to guarantee the rights of freedom of expression and student control of publications. If they have to go through the act of "kissing-ass" for Dean Brames or anyone else to get it passed and in the process violate the very principles they are fighting for, we can forget it. It's not worth the trouble. I'm not an ass-kisser and never will be, nor am I a hypocrite. If Dean Brames is so much more sensitive than the multitude of others who have been depicted in our cartoon section, I feel that his position is  
(cont. on p. 6, col. 2)

Walt's Wrestling World (cont. from page 4, col. 2)

vening, March 27, 1971. I can guarantee you that his will by far be the best Professional Wrestling and in the history of Indianapolis!!!!

Yours in Wrestling,  
Walter Patskanick

WOMEN'S SPORTS

Women's Intramural Basketball will end an "exciting and entertaining" season Monday night, March 22, 1971 in the intramural gym in Clare Hall. The line-up for these final games is: 8:30, The Zoo (sophs) vs. Sandy's Shooters (juniors); 9:00, the Sobh's (frosh) vs. Green House (juniors), and; 10:00 - the championship game - The Animals (sophs) vs. Brand X (frosh). The standings as of March 17 are as follows:

TEAM	WINS	LOSSES
The Animals	8	1
Brand X	7	1
Sandy's Shooters	5	4
The Zoo	4	5
The Sobh's	2	7
Green House	0	8

(The Carbon staff wishes lots of special luck to the Green House team!!!!)

Cathy Andre

ANYONE INTERESTED IN SECURING THE HONORABLE POSITION OF EDITOR OF THE MARIAN COLLEGE CARBON FOR THE SCHOOL YEAR 1971-72 SHOULD CONTACT ELAINE RANSOM OR DAVE SOOTS. CANDIDATES MAY APPLY IN PERSON, OR BY SUBMITTING A WRITTEN APPLICATION. WRITTEN APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO THE CARBON AND PLACED IN THE MAIL-ROOM, GROUND FLOOR OF MARIAN HALL.

CENTRAL INDIANA REGIONAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING FAIR

For the first time in its history, Marian College will host the Central Indiana Regional Science and Engineering Fair to be held in the main gym and lounge below on March 25, 26, and 27, 1971. Approximately 1000 exhibits will be entered by students from grades four through 12, from Marion and surrounding counties. The Fair opens at 1 P.M. on Thursday and Friday and 9 A.M. on Saturday. Students will set up their exhibits and judging will follow. Awards will be made in the evening of Thursday and Friday and the afternoon of Saturday in the Marian College Auditorium. The Fair is open to the public after 4:30 P.M. on Thursday and Friday and after 12 noon on Saturday. All Marian students, staff and faculty are not only invited but urged to attend and encourage the youngsters.

I wish to use the pages of the Carbon to thank the many students who are participating so gallantly and generously in the mountains of work that it takes to put on a Fair of this magnitude. It would be impossible for Marian to host this Fair without student power. It takes people to prepare and record all forms used in keeping track of entrants judging, winners and awards. Over a thousand certificates of various kinds must be typed, hundreds of boxes and panel must be set up and torn down which make up the exhibitors tables, auto traffic directed and cars parked, operation of first aid, communications and guiding of visitors, assisting exhibitors in setting up their projects and finally cleaning up the place. Paraphrasing the TV commercial, "I don't know what we would have done without Student Power." Thanks a million.

Professor Arthur J. Schultz,  
Fair Director

"ALL'S YOU GET IS CRAP....REVISITED"  
or  
"WHEN WILL COMPETENCE & PROFESSIONALISM EVER MEET?"

I know that you can type 100 words a minute you've always had your had your work done on time, and been efficient; and everyone knows that going to Mass and the Civilisation Series are good things. But the IMAGE of this office must be UPHELD.... SO..



by ZAPPP

To all those concerned: (cont. from page 6) would realize that what you've decided is most hyppocritical and not in the interest of those you are supposed to be representing. You should take your job and its responsibilities more seriously.  
E. Ransom

LETTER TO THE EDITORS

To the Editors:  
After hearing of the Student Board's decision to condemn Steve Donahughes cartoon concerning the corn Kolb and the Bramis Bull in the March 12 issue of the Carbon for its sexual connotations, I realize that the Board indeed did hold the answer to my problem.

On the basis of their previous decision would not the Board agree that Alice's descent into the rabbit hole was merely the young girl's subconscious creating a phallic reconstruction of her rape at age three by sixteen aardvarks, nine three-toed sloths, and a one legged ostrich?

Just Curious,  
John Chakos

Vote ...



SKAD'S  
"SEPARATE BUT EQUAL" PRESENTS  
(AN) BLUE CANNON R.D.



BOARD GAMES (cont. from p. 1, col. 1)

about the cartoon, but if they found it personally offensive, they have my apology. The sexual connotations that are being assigned to the cartoon are fantastic and I can only say that the Student Board has one collective dirty mind. I'm not the cartoonist, so I can only interpret the drawing for myself. It was a simple play on words, using the last names of Mrs. Kolb and Dean Brames, with a reference to the "bullshit" that is often forthcoming from the offices of Student Services. To me, the sexual connotations are contrived and exaggerated. Thanks to Freud, Jung, the Art Theatre, (and God-knows-who-else) similar connotations are possible to all around us. Take for example, the cover of last week's Carbon. It--obviously--is a flagrant attack on the character of two Marian students. The cartoon has definite homosexual overtones--two men together, out picking shamrocks, looking for their "pot of gold", etc. And you can go on from there. If you have any problems, see a Board member for some ideas.

A-N-Y-W-A-Y, we finally get to the real reason behind the Student Board's action. The Publication Board Constitution has just been passed by the Student Board and must be verified by the Student Services Office. Some difficulty in receiving SS approval is foreseen. Attempts have been made to argue that students are not responsible enough to fully control their own publications. This censure by the Student Board is an obvious attempt to salve the many wounds that SS has incurred. It is a devious tactic to procure SS approval of the Pub Board Constitution. It's pimping, no less. And it's resorting to the same games the "THEY" are always accused of playing.

(cont. next col.)

(cont. from col. 1)

Finally, the attempt to suppress material in the CARBON cannot be tolerated. The CARBON --once again--is an informal news bulletin that carries commentary, satire, opinion and other expressions from the Marian campus. Opinions expressed are those of the individual. These opinions must have some forum on this campus. And it is the DUTY of the Student Board to guarantee the maintenance of this forum. And failure of the Board to fulfill this duty can only result in the necessity of their search for still one more editor.

Dave Soots

To All Those Concerned: (cont. from p. 4 col. 2) the one that should be re-evaluated, not ours. If he cannot communicate with the students and take criticism in stride whether it be in the form of a cartoon or otherwise, I feel that he should be replaced by someone who can. Although I have never had a personal encounter with the man, I realize now that he's everything I've heard he was and possibly worse. I've heard he believes that the image of the S.S. must be upheld. Well, I've got a surprise for him. There isn't much of one now but if he continues to go about lifting it the manner he's employed up to now, there won't be any. Like the man said, Brames is full of bull. But he's not alone. This entire ordeal is "bull" and I'm sorry to see some supposedly intelligent people get hung up in it. But isn't that always the case at good old Marian? "Bull shit gets the attention while cyclamates are still being served in the cafeteria and student's rights are becoming a farce. Typical and really too bad.

E. Ransom

P.S. To the Student Board c/o Glen Tebbe

It's obvious that you weren't thinking when you made your proposal or that you just don't think much at all. For, if you did you

(cont. on p. 5, col. 2)

