

why do the  
strong seem  
to get more

while

# The week

ones fade

Fri., March 10. Marian College Night at the Coliseum. 8:10 P.M. Coliseum  
Pacers vs. Denver

Sat., March 11. Women's Varsity Basketball vs Taylor 10:30 A.M. There  
Doyle Hall Film: "Camelot" 8:00 P.M. MH Aud.  
Film symbolizes MC - "once there was; but not any more".


Sun., March 12. History Lecture & Discussion. Speaker: Dr. Jackson Bailey, Earlham.  
4:30 P.M. Rm. 207  
Dinner following Lecture 5:45 P.M. CH Dining room  
Clean up help needed - "Volunteer now and make the grade."

Mon., March 13. Student Services Staff Meeting 11:00 A.M. Lib. Aud.

Tues. March 14. Faculty Council Meeting Noon Rm. 207

Wed., March 15. SEA Meeting God and the Ed. Dept. only know when and where.

Thur. March 16. Convocation. 12:30 A.M. and/or P.M. There will be a RIOT following a mass  
take over of Student Services. We didn't want the day to be a total  
loss. Please meet in front of Brames's office - former office!



## Editorial

The Conduct system is supposedly based on a rather enlightened philosophy of trying to help the student understand how his behavior relates to the rights and expectations of others and one's own goals and objectives. This philosophy is educational, not punitive. However, the rules are not so enlightened.

Conduct action is based on the fact that the rules were broken not that undesirable behavior resulted from the breaking of the rule. The student may see that though he broke the rule his behavior was not in anyway harmful to others or himself. In fact his behavior may have been helpful. (i.e. letting someone in Clare Hall between guard times) It is here that the conduct philosophy breaks down, the purpose of this philosophy is to "rehabilitate" the person, to show him he made the wrong decision and teach him that he should make better ones. The problem is that the student may feel he never made a bad decision. Therefore the conduct system loses its educational aspect, only the punitive aspect remains.

This situation is dangerous. If the person maintains his decision wasn't bad, he shows no signs of rehabilitation, therefore he never will be taken off conduct probation or relieved of any action taken against him. The only thing he will learn is to be more careful next time. On the other hand, most people find dishonesty the easiest way out. Even if they don't believe it, they agree they

(cont. from first col.)

made a wrong decision and act remorseful. Soon they will be put back in good standing with the College

A system which punishes honesty and integrity and rewards dishonesty and hypocrisy can in no way be considered beneficial. I urge an evaluation of the conduct philosophy and a evaluation of the College rules. If rationale for a rule cannot be made clear to an offender, and if he does not think he made the wrong decision in the matter then the validity of the rule must be questioned, not the character of the student.

E Mc

## Editorial

In the last several weeks, I have heard students comment that they didn't care about the conduct appeals system. These students implied that they never do anything (naughty, that is) which deserves conduct action. If, certain students would conduct themselves in a manner proper to a "good, Catholic upbringing", there would be no need for a conduct appeals system. However, we haven't reached point omega yet. I might also add that <sup>Christ</sup> died to save the sinner--better known as man. So for those misguided persons who find themselves at the mercy of Student Services, something must be done to help them.

I watched RA's enforce rules last year (B.C., for example) who broke all the same

EDITORIAL (cont.)

rules the previous year. Yet these people never find themselves on the "Who's Who of Student Deviates" on file in Student Services. There's an inconsistency somewhere. It seems like the only ones who face conduct action are the students who don't "brown-nose" Ann Buchanan, Linda Kolb, Alfred Brames, and the like. It's who you know that counts at Marian that matters. But don't worry offenders of the Marian Law, you will be given an opportunity to reap the educational and rehabilitation benefits of the present conduct system. Then you too will be a member of the Saints League (L.K., A.B., A.B., B.C., K.S., L.A., J.W., S.G., B.R.).

CW

FROM THE MASSES:

Dear editors,

In regard to the system of conduct on the Marian campus, be it poor or angelic, according to the S. S. version of Mein Kampf, the best place to start would be right in the Office of Student Services.

A man many of us have come to know and love over the last year once said, "When police-  
me break the law, then there is no law." (Billy Jack, 1971)

The R.A.'s around here have been equated as being policemen. If their role then is to be "policemen", and it is undeniable common knowledge that they have been constantly violating the laws, then there are no laws on Marian Campus.

Granted that I am speaking mainly of the dormitories when talking of such laws, but who do we arrest first. Why does the Dean insist that the R.D.'s and R.A.'s take primary actions against those who violate housing rules, when they themselves have violated the same exact rules in the past and continue to do so. Even the Dean himself breaks his own rules by labeling his actions appropriately to fit the situation in which he has surrounded himself.

Until the rules around here are firmly established and the members of the "police system" abide by these rules, that system has a lot of nerve sitting in judgement on the conduct of others.

Lou Liebig

Carbon,

It seems to me that the emphasis concerning certain campus rules has shifted from an understanding of why the rules exist to a mere reading of the rules. Student Services is always placing "rationale" in such high regard, yet the "letter of the law" is acted on. The prime example of this is the rule of no alcoholic beverages allowed on campus. As I understand it, the main reason for this rule is that people tend to get louder as they drink more. (I say this is the main reason because this is what applies for 21 year olds who may drink legally.) The noise would destroy the atmosphere conducive to study in the dorms. This is all fine and dandy, yet there is a real hang-up on the words over and above this spirit behind them.

A student may be quietly carrying booze into or out of the dorm and end up on conduct probation for violation of the rule - (yes

the words of the rule). This student has yet to have violated the reason for that rule while he sits in an office "discussing" his action with a member of Student Services.

Another student may scream in the halls at 3:00 a.m. and the incident goes no further than an R.A. asking him to "keep it down" (if it even goes that far).

I'm not saying turn people in for drinking or turn people in for making noise. I just don't understand why the rationale is so important if it is never the source for action. What's going on here?

P.A.M.



Carbon Applauds

- Joe Santosuosso's REUNION (heh, heh) with his old high school flame DEBBIE
- Nun's psychic power over security guards
- taffy apples in the cafe
- higher education
- getting out of here before midnight, once again

Carbon Hisses

- heartbreak to Ursuline women caused by Joe Santosuosso's recent REUNION
- misplaced pool key
- lack of Paul (Fr. Dooley) in his office
- Sr. Carol's concept of "volunteerism"
- bad refs.

UP JOOKS  
award of the week  
goes to

Gg Sylvester

for using the same  
toilet brush to clean out the  
toilets, urinals, sinks and  
drinking fountains.

CALL THE BOARD OF HEALTH



13<sup>th</sup>


GREEN

# Intramural Basketball.

The opening round of the playoffs brought no real surprises to the capacity crowd of seventeen. The first game saw the Courtly Few eliminate the Funny Co., 83 - 56, in what was probably considered the finest game of the year. Pat Zapp paced the Courtly Few with 26 pts. followed by John Jones with 22. John Giles led the Funny Co. with 19 and Ray Burger had 16. The second game was won by the Seamen Squad, 61-45, over a determined Social Pros team, whose team accum. was 3.1 for those who care. i.e. Chris Everett. The Rushville Runt, Joe Jarboe, had fifteen for the winners as well as a "tremendous" defensive effort, witnessed by his bouncing up and down the floor. Russ Pawlak added 18 for the Squad, but it was the hustle of the Social Pros that deserves mention. Steve Bickley led them with 12 points, and it was the rebounding of Marty Walker and Tom Scanlan that kept them in the game until the last few minutes.

The other two games were played at press time. They were the Jwan-tu-Jwazuri vs. Birdmen and the Oedipus Complex vs. the Gods of Hellfire. The finals begin Sunday at high noon in the main gym.

Hamm



Your children are not your children.  
They are the sons and daughters of Life's  
longing for itself  
They come through you but not from you,  
And though they are with you yet they belong  
not to you.

You may give them your love but not your thoughts,  
For they have their own thoughts.  
You may house their bodies but not their souls,  
For their souls dwell in the house of tomorrow,  
which you cannot visit, not even in your  
dreams.  
You may strive to be like them, but seek not  
to make them like you,  
For life goes not backwards nor tarries with  
yesterday.

You are the bows from which your children as  
living arrows are sent forth.....

Let your bending in the archer's hand be your  
gladness.

Kahlil Gibran

Don't let it be  
forgot  
that once there was  
a spot that for one brief  
shining moment - it  
was know as

CAMELOT

Presented by Doyle Hall Films

SATurday Mar. 11

MHS

adm. \$1.00

8:00

Sir Ken Hornback - usher